arXiv:1612.01290v2 [math.CV] 10 Dec 2016

Entire holomorphic curves on a Fermat surface of low degree

Tuen-Wai Ng and Sai-Kee Yeung

Dedicated to Professor Walter K. Hayman on the occasion of his 90th birthday

Abstract

The purpose of the paper is to study some problems raised by Hayman and Gundersen about the existence of non-trivial entire and meromorphic solutions for the Fermat type functional equation $f^n + g^n + h^n = 1$. Hayman showed that no non-trivial meromorphic solutions and entire solutions exist when $n \geq 9$ and $n \geq 7$ respectively. By considering the entire holomorphic curves on the Fermat surface defined by $X^n + Y^n + Z^n = W^n$ on the complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^3$ and applying the method of jet differentials, we show that no non-trivial meromorphic solutions and entire solutions exist when $n \geq 8$ and $n \geq 6$ respectively. In particular, this completes the investigation of non-trivial entire solutions for all n and respectively, meromorphic solutions for all cases except for $n = 7$. Finally, for the generalized Fermat type functional equation $f^n + g^m + h^l = 1$, we will also prove the non-existence of non-trivial meromorphic solutions when $1/n + 1/m + 1/l \leq 3/8$, giving the strongest result obtained so far.

1. *Introduction*

One of the most famous problems in number theory is the Fermat's Last Theorem which says that there is no natural numbers x, y and z such

$$x^n + y^n = z^n \tag{1.1}$$

for any natural number n greater than 2. The problem was eventually solved by Andrew Wiles, and the complete proof was published in 1995.

The corresponding problem in one complex variable function theory is whether the equation (1.1) has entire function solutions. This is equivalent to asking if the following functional equation has non-constant meromorphic solutions f and g on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$:

$$f^n + g^n = 1 \tag{1.2}$$

It was proved by Iyer [**22**] in 1939 (see also [**7**]) that (1.2) has no non-constant entire solutions when $n > 2$ and when $n = 2$, all entire solutions are of the form $f(z) = \cos(\alpha(z))$ and $g = \sin(\alpha(z))$, where α is a non-constant entire function. Gross [**6**] showed in 1966 that (1.2) has no non-constant meromorphic solutions when $n > 3$ and when $n = 2$, all the meromorphic solutions are of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{2\beta(z)}{1 + \beta(z)^2}, \quad g(z) = \frac{1 - \beta(z)^2}{1 + \beta(z)^2},$$

where β is a meromorphic function. For $n = 3$, Baker [**2**] showed that all meromorphic solutions of (1.2) are of the form $f(z) = F(\alpha(z))$ and $g = cG(\alpha(z))$ where α is an entire function, F and G are the elliptic functions $\frac{1+3^{-1/2}\wp'(z)}{2\wp(z)}$ and $\frac{1-3^{-1/2}\wp'(z)}{2\wp(z)}$ respectively. Here c is a cubic root of unity and $\wp$ is the Weierstrass $\wp$ function.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 11B83 (primary), 30D05 (secondary).

The first author was partially supported by the RGC grant 17301115. The second author was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation

It is then natural to ask what happens to the three term Fermat-type functional equation

$$f^n + g^n + h^n = 1 \tag{1.3}$$

Given any non-constant meromorphic function f, if we let $g = \omega_1 f$ and $h = \omega_2$ where $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega_1^n = -1$ and $\omega_2^n = 1$, then we get the trivial solution (f, g, h) to (1.3). So by *non-trivial solutions* to (1.3), we will mean solutions which are not of the form $(f(t), \omega_1 f(t), \omega_2)$ or by permutation of the indices, where $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega_1^n = -1$ and $\omega_2^n = 1$.

It was proved by Hayman [**16**] in 1985 that there is no non-trivial entire solutions to (1.3) when $n \geqslant 7$ and there is no non-trivial meromorphic solutions to (1.3) when $n \geqslant 9$. For $n \leqslant 4$, Hayman [**16**] also showed that there exist some meromorphic solutions for (1.3) (actually Toda [**29**] also proved a more general results for the entire case in 1971 and Fujimoto proved the meromorphic case for meromorphic maps on $\mathbb{C}^k$ in [**15**]). Hayman's proofs are based on Cartan's theory of holomorphic curves in projective spaces [**3**], which is a generalization of the value distribution theory of Nevanlinna. See [**13**], [**25**]and [**26**] for an introduction to Cartan's theory and [**1**] for an attempt to sharpen Cartan's theory. In 2002, Ishizaki [**21**] gave a different proof of Hayman's results based on the classical Nevanlinna theory and he also pointed out that f, g and h must satisfy certain non-linear differential equation.

In 1998, Gundersen [**9**] was able to construct meromorphic (elliptic) solutions for $n = 6$ by expressing certain binary form as sum of powers of linear form (see also [**30**] for a detailed explanation of Gundersen's construction). Then in 2001, Gundersen [**10**] again constructed meromorphic solutions for the case $n = 5$ using a result on the unique range sets of meromorphic functions. Examples of entire solutions also exist for $n \leq 5$. They are given as follows where α is a non-constant entire function:

Case n = 1. f, g non-constant entire, $h = -f - g + 1$.
Case n = 2. $f = \dfrac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\sqrt{3}}$, $g = \dfrac{(\alpha^2 + 1)i}{\sqrt{3}}$, $h = \sqrt{2}\alpha$
Case n = 3. Lehmer's example [**24**]: $f = 9\alpha^4$, $g = -9\alpha^4 + 3\alpha$, $h = -9\alpha^3 + 1$
Case n = 4. Gross's example [**6**]:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= 2^{1/4}(\sin^2\alpha - \cos^2\alpha + i\sin\alpha\cos\alpha), \\ g &= (-1)^{1/4}(2i\sin\alpha\cos\alpha + \sin^2\alpha), \\ h &= (-1)^{1/4}(2i\sin\alpha\cos\alpha - \cos^2\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

or Green's example [**4**]:

$$f = 8^{-1/4}(e^{3\alpha} + e^{-\alpha}),\ g = (-8)^{-1/4}(e^{3\alpha} - e^{-\alpha}),\ h = (-1)^{1/4}e^{2\alpha}.$$

Case n = 5. Gundersen and Tohge's example [**14**]:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{1}{3}[(2 - \sqrt{6})e^{\alpha} + 1 + (2 + \sqrt{6})e^{-\alpha}], \\ g &= \frac{1}{6}[\{(\sqrt{6} - 2) + (3\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{3})i\}e^{\alpha} + 2 - \{(\sqrt{6} + 2) - (3\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3}i\}e^{-\alpha}], \\ h &= \frac{1}{6}[\{(\sqrt{6} - 2) + (2\sqrt{3} - 3\sqrt{2})i\}e^{\alpha} + 2 - \{(\sqrt{6} + 2) + (3\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3})i\}e^{-\alpha}]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the three term Fermat-type equation (1.3), the remaining open problems are:

Problem A: Whether there exist non-trivial entire solutions of (1.3) when $n = 6$?

Problem B: Whether there exist non-trivial meromorphic (non-entire) solutions of (1.3) when $n = 7$?

Problem C: Whether there exist non-trivial meromorphic (non-entire) solutions of (1.3) when $n = 8$?

The above three problems were asked by Hayman in many occasions. These problems are also mentioned in [**21**], [**11**] and [**14**]. Very recently, Gundersen proposed to study these problems again in his problem list [**12**] (see Question 3.1 and 3.3 of this list). The main goal of this article is to settle Problem A and C by proving the following results.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose $n = 6$. Then there is no non-trivial entire solution to (1.3).*

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose $n = 8$. Then there is no non-trivial meromorphic solution to (1.3).*

Recall that by a non-trivial solution to (1.3), we mean a solution which is not of the form $(f(t), \omega_1 f(t), \omega_2)$ or by permutation of the indices, where $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega_1^n = -1$ and $\omega_2^n = 1$.

Hence combining the above theorems with known results in the literature, we conclude that

COROLLARY 1.3. *(a). There is no non-trivial meromorphic solution for (1.3) in the case of $n \geqslant 8$, and there are non-trivial transcendental meromorphic solution for (1.3) in the case of $n \leqslant 6$.*
(b). There is no non-trivial entire solution for (1.3) in the case of $n \geqslant 6$, and there are non-trivial transcendental entire solution for (1.3) in the case of $n \leqslant 5$.

Part a) of the above corollary partially answers a question of Fujimoto related to his Corollary 6.4 on meromorphic maps on $\mathbb{C}^k$ mentioned in page 273 of [**15**].

A complete proof of the non-existence of non-trivial meromorphic solutions in (a) and entire solutions in (b) independent of the results of [**16**] and [**21**] will be presented in Section 4 after we have introduced the general theory of jet differentials in Section 2 and some special holomorphic 2-jet and log 2-jet differentials in Section 3. To prove our main results, namely Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we also need some estimates on the Nevanlinna characteristic functions for the pull back of the special holomorphic 2-jet and log 2-jet differentials constructed in Section 3. We provide these estimates in Section 5. We then prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in Section 6. We also consider generalized Fermat functional equations $f^n + g^m + h^l = 1$ in Section 7 and we will prove the non-existence of non-trivial meromorphic solutions of it whenever $1/n + 1/m + 1/l \leq 3/8$ (Theorem 7.1). This gives the strongest result obtained so far. Finally, in Section 8, we mention a few related open problems that one may want to consider.

2. *Holomorphic 2-jet and log 2-jet differentials*

To prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.2, we study the properties of entire holomorphic curves on the Fermat surface S_n defined by

$$X^n + Y^n + Z^n = W^n \tag{2.1}$$

on the complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^3 = \{[X : Y : Z : W]\}$. On the affine part of $\mathbb{P}^3$ ($W \neq 0$), the equation is given by

$$x^n + y^n + z^n = 1 \tag{2.2}$$

where $x := \frac{X}{W}$, $y := \frac{Y}{W}$ and $z := \frac{Z}{W}$.

We shall study some special holomorphic or meromorphic 2-jet differentials on the Fermat surface S_n. So we recall the definition of k-th jet space and k-jet differentials (see [**28**]).

DEFINITION 1. The k-th jet space $J_k(M) = \cup_{p\in M} J_k(M)_p$ is a bundle over an n dimensional complex manifold M, where, for each point $p \in M$, every element $v \in J_k(M)_p$ is a set of complex numbers $(\xi_{j\alpha})_{1\leq j\leq k, 1\leq\alpha\leq n}$ with respect to a local coordinates $z_\alpha (1 \leq \alpha \leq n)$ of M in a neighborhood of p. Define $d^j z_\alpha : J_k(M) \to \mathbb{C}$ by $d^j z_\alpha(v) = \xi_{j\alpha}$ for $v = (\xi_{j\alpha})_{1\leq j\leq k,1\leq\alpha\leq n}$.

DEFINITION 2. A holomorphic k-jet differential ω (respectively meromorphic k-jet differential) on an n dimensional complex manifold M assigns, at each point $p \in M$, a function $\omega(p)$ on $J_k(M)_p$ such that, with local coordinates $z_1, \cdots, z_n, \omega$ is locally a polynomial, with holomorphic (respectively meromorphic) functions as coefficients, in the variables $d^\ell z_j (1 \leq \ell \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n)$ A meromorphic k-jet differential ω is said to be a log-pole k-jet differential if it is locally a polynomial, with holomorphic functions as coefficients, in the variables $d^\ell z_j, d^\nu \log g_\lambda (1 \leq \ell \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq \nu \leq k, 1 \leq \lambda \leq \Lambda)$, where $g_\lambda (1 \leq \lambda \leq \Lambda)$ are local holomorphic functions whose zero-divisors are contained in a finite number of global nonnegative divisors of M.

Thus, in terms of local coordinates $z_1, \ldots, z_n$, a meromorphic k-jet differential is expressed in the form

$$\omega = \sum_\nu \omega_{\nu_{1,1}\cdots\nu_{1,k}\cdots\nu_{n,1}\cdots\nu_{n,k}} (dz_1)^{\nu_{1,1}} \cdots (d^k z_1)^{\nu_{1,k}} \cdots (dz_n)^{\nu_{n,1}} \cdots (d^k z_n)^{\nu_{n,k}}$$

where the summation is over the kn-tuple

$$\nu = (\nu_{1,1} \cdots \nu_{1,k} \cdots \nu_{n,1} \cdots \nu_{n,k})$$

and $\omega_{\nu_{1,1}\cdots\nu_{1,k}\cdots\nu_{n,1}\cdots\nu_{n,k}}$ is a meromorphic function locally defined. If $f : \mathbb{C} \to M$ is a holomorphic curve, then in terms of the local coordinates of M, f naturally pulls back jet differentials and the above expression pulls back to

$$f^*\omega := \sum_\nu \omega_{\nu_{1,1}\cdots\nu_{1,k}\cdots\nu_{n,1}\cdots\nu_{n,k}} (f)(f_1')^{\nu_{1,1}} \cdots (f_1^{(k)})^{\nu_{1,k}} \cdots (f_n')^{\nu_{n,1}} \cdots (f_n^{(k)})^{\nu_{n,k}}$$

We will need the following results on the vanishing of pullback of jet differential.

Theorem A. ([**33**], [**34**]) Let M be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n and D be an ample divisor in M. Let ω be a k-jet differential on M which vanishes on D but is not identically zero on M. Then for any holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C} \to M$, the pullback $f^*\omega$ is identically zero on $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem B. ([**34**]) Let k be a positive integer. Let M be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n and D be an ample divisor in M. Let $Z_1, ..., Z_p$ be distinct irreducible complex hypersurfaces in M. Let ω be a meromorphic k-jet differential on M of of at most log-pole singularity along $\cup_{i=1}^p Z_i$ such that ω vanishes on D and is not identically zero on M. Then for any holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C} \to M - \cup_{i=1}^p Z_i$, the pullback $f^*\omega$ is identically zero on $\mathbb{C}$.

3. *Some general discussions*

One of the original goals of the project is to give a uniform treatment to all cases involved, namely, to prove non-existence of non-rational entire holomorphic curves (coming either from meromorphic functions or entire holomorphic functions on the affine part) for large degree, and to explain the existence of such curves in low degree. This is in principle possible with the use of holomorphic jet differentials. Denote by S_n the Fermat surface of degree n in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Denote by $F_{k,m}$ the holomorphic jet bundle of order k and homogeneous weight m. The following lemma is well-known, and can be found in [**5**].

Lemma 3.1. *(a). S_n is a surface of general type if $n \geqslant 5$.*
(b). Assume that $n \geqslant 5$. Then $H^0(S_n, F_{1,m}) = 0$ for all $m > 0$.
(c). Assume that $n \geqslant 5$. Sections of $H^0(S_n, F_{k,m})$ gives a birational mapping of S_n if k, m are sufficiently large.

Proof. (a) follows from the Adjunction Formula. In fact, the canonical line bundle $K_{S_n} = (K_{\mathbb{P}^3} + nH)|_{S_n} = (n-4)|_{S_n}$ is ample if $n > 4$.

For (b), we observe that $H^0(S_n, F_{1,m}) = H^0(S_n, S^m(\Omega_{S_n})) = 0$ from a result of Sakai (cf. [**5**]), here $S^m(\Omega_{S_n})$ denotes the space of m-th symmetric differentials.

(c) is a result of Riemann-Roch Formula and is computed in [**5**], §1.10-1.21. Briefly,

$$h^0(S_n, F_{k,m}) - h^1(S_n, F_{k,m}) + h^2(S_n, F_{k,m}) = \chi((S_n, F_{k,m})$$

The right hand side is large, while $h^2(S_n, F_{k,m})$ vanishes from a vanishing theorem of Bogomolov, making use of the semi-stability of the tangent bundle of S_n.

□

The following result is an immediate corollary.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $n \geqslant 5$. Then any entire holomorphic curve on S_n lies in the integral curve of a certain ordinary differential equation on S_n.*

Proof. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \to S_n$ be an entire holomorphic curve. From part (c) of the previous lemma, we know that there exists a non-trivial holomorphic jet differential $\eta \in H^0(S_n, F_{k,m})$ vanishing on an ample divisor of S_n if k and m are sufficiently large. From Theorem A, we conclude that $f^*\eta = 0$. This implies that the image of f satisfies an ordinary differential equation of order k on S_n.

□

Remark 1. The above theorem in principle equips with us a tool to locate all the entire holomorphic curves on S_n, by integrating out the differential equations involved. The focus here is not on complex hyperbolicity, that is, non-existence of entire holomorphic curves, but

rather the properties of such curves. The trouble is that the differential equations involved are not explicit and hence difficult to work with. Results of Section 4 shows how to construct some explicit jet differentials for $n \geqslant 8$ (vanishing on an ample divisor for $n \geqslant 9$). In the case of $n \geqslant 9$, the integral curves are found and the image of entire holomorphic curves are determined.

4. *Special jet differentials and entire holomorphic curves on Fermat surfaces of high degree*

We will apply Theorem A and B to some 2-jet differentials obtained from (2.2).

By taking derivatives of equation (2.2), we obtain

$$0 = x^{n-1}dx + y^{n-1}dy + z^{n-1}dz \tag{4.1}$$

$$0 = x^{n-1}D^2x + y^{n-1}D^2y + z^{n-1}D^2z \tag{4.2}$$

where $D^2F = d^2F + \frac{n-1}{F}(dF)^2$ for a function F.

Applying Crammer's rule to equations (2.2), (4.1) and (4.2), it follows that

$$\frac{\begin{vmatrix} dy & dz \\ D^2y & D^2z \end{vmatrix}}{x^{n-1}} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} dz & dx \\ D^2z & D^2x \end{vmatrix}}{y^{n-1}} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} dx & dy \\ D^2x & D^2y \end{vmatrix}}{z^{n-1}} \tag{4.3}$$

Let Φ be the above expression.

We shall need the following properties of the 2-jet differential Φ.

LEMMA 4.1. *We have the following identity.*

$$\Phi = \begin{vmatrix} x & y & z \\ dx & dy & dz \\ D^2x & D^2y & D^2z \end{vmatrix} = (xyz)M_{xyz}, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$M_{xyz} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \frac{dx}{x} & \frac{dy}{y} & \frac{dz}{z} \\ \frac{D^2x}{x} & \frac{D^2y}{y} & \frac{D^2z}{z} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Hence for

$$M_{yz} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{dy}{y} & \frac{dz}{z} \\ \frac{D^2y}{y} & \frac{D^2z}{z} \end{vmatrix},\ M_{zx} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{dz}{z} & \frac{dx}{x} \\ \frac{D^2z}{z} & \frac{D^2x}{x} \end{vmatrix},\ M_{xy} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{dx}{x} & \frac{dy}{y} \\ \frac{D^2x}{x} & \frac{D^2y}{y} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Phi = \frac{(yz)M_{yz}}{x^{n-1}} = \frac{(zx)M_{zx}}{y^{n-1}} = \frac{(xy)M_{xy}}{z^{n-1}} = (xyz)M_{xyz}. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. The first identity follows from (4.3) and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \frac{x^n\Phi + y^n\Phi + z^n\Phi}{x^n + y^n + z^n} \\ &= \frac{1}{x^n + y^n + z^n}[x\begin{vmatrix} dy & dz \\ D^2y & D^2z \end{vmatrix} + y\begin{vmatrix} dz & dx \\ D^2z & D^2x \end{vmatrix} + z\begin{vmatrix} dx & dy \\ D^2x & D^2y \end{vmatrix}] \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} x & y & z \\ dx & dy & dz \\ D^2x & D^2y & D^2z \end{vmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $x^n + y^n + z^n = 1$ from definition. Hence (4.4) follows and (4.5) then follows from (4.3) and (4.4).

□

LEMMA 4.2. *The 2-jet differential $xyz\Phi$ is holomorphic for $n \geqslant 8$. Moreover, $xyz\Phi$ vanishes along an ample divisor on S_n for $n \geqslant 9$.*

Proof. Observe that D^2x has only a simple pole at $x = 0$, and similarly for D^2y and D^2z by permutation in x, y, z. Hence by looking at the first term of (4.3), we see that on the affine part, $xyz\Phi$ is holomorphic except possibly at $x = 0$. On the other hand, by looking at the second (respectively third) term, $xyz\Phi$ is holomorphic except at $y = 0$ (respectively $z = 0$). Note that $\{x = 0, y = 0, z = 0\}$ has trivial intersection with S_n on $\mathbb{P}^3$, since S_n is smooth. Hence $xyz\Phi$ is holomorphic at the affine part of S_n.

Consider now the pole order of Φ at ∞. For the first term on the right hand side of (4.3), we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \frac{1}{x^{n-1}}(dyD^2z - dzD^2y)\\ &= \frac{1}{x^{n-1}}[(dyd^2z - dzd^2y) + (n-1)dydz(d\log z - d\log y).\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Suppose that the infinity is defined by $w = 0$ in local coordinate. It follows that we may consider the transformations

$$x = \frac{1}{w}, \quad y = \frac{u}{w}, \quad z = \frac{v}{w}. \tag{4.7}$$

It follows by direct computation that $dyd^2z - dzd^2y$ has a pole of order 3 at ∞ and $dydz(d\log z - d\log y)$ has a pole of order 4 at ∞. Hence the numerator of $xyz\Phi$ has pole order 7 at ∞. The denominator is x^{n-1}, giving rise to a zero of Φ of order $n-1$ at ∞. Hence the pole order of $xyz\Phi$ at ∞ is $8-n$. Hence $xyz\Phi$ is holomorphic for $n \geqslant 8$. Moreover, if $n \geqslant 9$, $xyz\Phi$ vanishes along the ample divisor given by the hyperplane at ∞.

□

COROLLARY 4.3. *([**16**]) For $n \geq 9$, there is no non-trivial meromorphic solution of (1.3).*

Proof. Consider the mapping $F = [f, g, h, 1] : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}^3$. By grouping the poles together, F has a holomorphic representation $F = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]$, where a_i is an entire holomorphic function for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Denote $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x, y, z)$ and $(f_1, f_2, f_3) = (f, g, h)$. Hence $f_i = \frac{a_i}{a_4}$. From definition, $F^*d^ix_j = \frac{d^if_j}{d\zeta^i}$. In this way, $F^*\Phi$ is defined as well.

From Lemma 4.2 and Theorem A, $F^*(xyz\Phi) = 0$. Hence from (4.5), unless $F(\mathbb{C})$ lies in a coordinate plane, we may assume that $F^*M_{xy} = 0$, since the former case can be handled easily.

This implies that $F(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies the differential equation $M_{xy} = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & dx(d^2y + \frac{n-1}{y}(dy)^2) - dy(d^2x + \frac{n-1}{x}(dx)^2) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow\ & dxd^2y - dyd^2x = -(n-1)dxdyd\ln(\frac{y}{x}) \\ \Rightarrow\ & d(\frac{dy}{dx}) = -(n-1)\frac{dy}{dx}\ln(\frac{y}{x}) \\ \Rightarrow\ & d\ln(\frac{dy}{dx}) = -(n-1)\ln(\frac{y}{x}) \\ \Rightarrow\ & \frac{dy}{dx} = k_1(\frac{y}{x})^{-(n-1)} \\ \Rightarrow\ & y^n = k_1x^n + k_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where k_1 and k_2 are constants. Hence the image of F is contained in the two equations (2.2) and (4.8). One checks easily from the genus formula that unless $k_1 = 0$ or $k_2 = 0$, the genus of the curve cut out by the two equations is at least 2, which is hyperbolic. This will force x and y to be constant functions. Hence either $k_1 = 0$ or $k_2 = 0$. In either case, we conclude that the image of the curve lies in a rational curve of the form $(f(t), \omega_1 f(t), \omega_2, 1)$ or by permutation of the indices, where $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega_1^n = -1$ and $\omega_2^n = 1$. There only trivial solutions exist and we are done.

□

Consider now the case of entire holomorphic solutions to equation (1.3). This is equivalent to existence of an entire holomorphic curve on the surface S_n defined by $X^n + Y^n + Z^n = W^n$ avoiding the curve $W = 0$. To be consistent with the discussions in the earlier sections, let us consider the equivalent problem of existence of entire holomorphic curve on $S_n - C_Z$, where the curve C_Z is defined by $\{Z = 0\}$ on S_n, by switching the roles of W and Z. Hence we are looking for the entire solutions e, f, g to the equation

$$f^n + g^n + 1 = e^n \tag{4.9}$$

LEMMA 4.4. *The 2-jet differential $\frac{xy}{z}\Phi$ is a holomorphic log 2-jet divisor with logarithmic poles along the divisor C_Z of M for $n \geqslant 6$. Moreover, $\frac{xy}{z}\Phi$ vanishes along an ample divisor on S_n for $n \geqslant 7$.*

Proof. From (4.3) and (4.6), we see that $\frac{xy}{z}\Phi$ is holomorphic at $x = 0$ and $y = 0$, but has a log pole along $z = 0$. Now from the last paragraph in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we see that the pole of $\frac{xy}{z}\Phi$ has order $6 - n$ at ∞ corresponding to $W = 0$. Hence we conclude that on S_n, the 2-jet differential $\frac{xy}{z}\Phi$ is a holomorphic everywhere except a log pole along D_Z if $n \geqslant 6$. Moreover, it vanishes along the ample divisor given by $W = 0$ when $n \geqslant 7$. □

We immediately have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.5. *([**16**]) There is no non-trivial entire solution $e(\zeta), f(\zeta), g(\zeta)$ to the equation (4.9) for $n \geqslant 7$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.4 and Theorem B (the Schwarz Lemma for log-jet sections as stated in Theorem 3a in [**34**]) and the arguments used in the proof of Corollary 4.3. □

REMARK 2. Switching the roles of Z and W in the above arguments, we may still study on the affine part solutions to

$$f^n + g^n + h^n = 1$$

for $n \geqslant 6$ and consider the two jet of the form $xyz\Phi$. In such case, the argument of the above shows that $xyz\Phi$ has log poles at ∞ so that the arguments of the above still forces $F^*\Phi_{xyz} = 0$ for $n \geqslant 7$. Under the transformation $(x, y, z, 1) \to (x, y, 1, w)$ in two different standard affine coordinates for $\mathbb{P}^3$, the jet differential $xyz\Phi_{xyz}$ corresponds $\frac{xy}{w}\Phi_{xyw}$, the one given in Lemma 4.4, where Φ_{xyz} is Φ discussed earlier in affine coordinates $(x, y, z, 1)$ and Φ_{xyw} is the similar expression in affine coordinate $(x, y, 1, w)$.

5. *On* $T(r, F^*(xyz\Phi))$

Let us recall some standard notations from value distribution theory (see for example, [**28**] and [**32**]). In the following, let g be a function on $\mathbb{C}$, and η be a one form on $\mathbb{C}$. We define

$$\mathcal{A}_r(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} g(re^{i\theta})d\theta$$
$$\mathcal{I}_r(\eta) = \int_0^r \frac{d\rho}{\rho}\int_{|z|<\rho}\eta.$$

Let $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a holomorphic mapping to $\mathbb{P}^n$ and ω be the Kähler form of the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let D be a hypersurface on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let ∞ denote the hypersurface at ∞. We define

$$T(r, F) = \mathcal{I}_r(F^*\omega)$$
$$N(r, F, D) = \mathcal{I}_r(F^*D),$$

where the latter is interpreted as a current.

A meromorphic function f can be considered as a mapping $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}^1$. In such case, $N(r, f, \infty)$ or $N(r, f, 0)$ are defined as above when ∞ and 0 are regarded as divisors on $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Again we consider the mapping $F = [f, g, h, 1] : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}^3$ as in the proof of Corollary 4.3 and adopt the notation there.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *(a). Let $n = 6$. Assume that f, g, h are entire and $F^*M_{xyz} \neq 0$. Then $T(r, F^*(xyz\Phi)) = \mathcal{O}(\log(T(r, F)))$ for r outside a set E of finite measure with respect to $\frac{dr}{r}$. (b). Let $n = 8$ and assume that f, g, h are meromorphic and $F^*M_{xyz} \neq 0$. Then the same conclusion holds.*

Proof. (a). As in Remark 2 of Section 4, $\Omega := xyz\Phi$ is a special holomorphic log-2-jet differential on S_n with log-divisor at D which is the divisor at ∞. Let us cover S_n by a finite number of open sets U_α, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$. We choose local holomorphic coordinates (x, w) on U_α so that D is defined by $w = 0$ if $D \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Ω is then a polynomial expression in

$$dx, d\log w, d^2x d\log w - dx d^2\log w$$

with coefficients which are meromorphic functions. Hence $\Omega = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{ijk} dx^i d\log w^j (d^2x d\log w - dx d^2\log w)^k$ on U_α, where $i + j + 3k = \ell$ is a constant. Note that the expressions make sense even if $D \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$. The proximity term $\mathcal{A}_r(\log^+ |F^*\Omega|)$ refers to the proximity integral of the sum of the pull back of all the coefficients. As F is entire, we still have $N(r, F^*\Omega, \infty) = 0$.

Denote by ω the Kähler form on S_n induced from the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{P}^3$. By considering partition of unity $\{\rho_\alpha\}$ subordinated to the collection of open sets $\{U_\alpha\}$ and writing $\Omega = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \rho_\alpha \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_r(\log^+|F^*\Omega|) &\leqslant \mathcal{A}_r(\log^+(N \max_{1\leqslant\alpha\leqslant N}(\rho_\alpha|F^*\Omega|)))\\ &\leqslant \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \mathcal{A}_r(\log^+(\rho_\alpha|F^*\Omega|)+\log N))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_r(\log^+(\rho_\alpha|F^*\Omega|)) &= \mathcal{A}_r(\log^+|F^*(\sum_{i,j,k} a_{ijk}(dx)^i(d\log w)^j(d^2xd\log w - dxd^2\log w)^k|))\\ &\leqslant \mathcal{A}_r(\log^+(1+c_1\sum_{j+3k\leqslant\ell}(|F|^2+|F^*(d\log w)^j|+|F^*(d^2xd\log w - dxd^2\log w)^k)|)\\ &\leqslant \log(c_2+c_3\mathcal{A}_r(|F|^2)+c_4\sum_{j\leqslant 2, jm\leqslant\ell}\mathcal{A}_r(|F^*(d^j\log w)^m|)\\ &\leqslant \log(c_2+c_4r^\epsilon(\mathcal{I}_r(F^*\omega))^{1+\delta})\|_{\epsilon,\delta}\\ &= \mathcal{O}(\log T(r,F))\|_{\epsilon,\delta},\end{aligned}$$

where we used concavity of log in the third line, the Logarithmic Derivative Lemma and Calculus Lemma (cf. [**32**], Lemma 1.1.3) in the fourth line. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_r(\log^+|F^*(dw)|^2) &\leqslant \mathcal{A}_r(\log^+|F^*(d\log w)|^2)+\mathcal{A}_r(\log^+|F^*(w)|^2)\\ &\leqslant \mathcal{A}_r(\log^+|F^*(d\log w)|^2)+\mathcal{A}_r(\log^+|F|^2)\end{aligned}$$

and so forth. Part (a) of the lemma follows from the First Main Theorem and the fact that the counting function $N(r, F^*\Omega, \infty) = 0$.

The proof of (b) is exactly the same, replacing log jet differentials by the usual holomorphic jet differentials. □

6. *Proof of Theorem 1.1 (n=6) and Theorem 1.2 (n=8)*

Proof. We first prove Theorem 1.2 ($n = 8$). Suppose there are meromorphic functions f, g, h satisfying

$$f^8 + g^8 + h^8 = 1.$$

If $F^*M_{xyz} = 0$, then we will have (4.8) for $n = 8$ and we are done. Now if $F^*M_{xyz} \neq 0$, then we know from (4.5) of Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 5.1(b) that

$$p = F^*(\frac{x^2y^2}{z^6}M_{xy}) = F^*(\frac{xy}{z^6}(dxD^2y - dyD^2x))$$

is a small function, i.e. $T(r, p) = \mathcal{O}(\log(T(r, F)))$. The condition $F^*M_{xyz} \neq 0$ also implies that all f, g and h are non-constant.

From p.427 of [**32**], we know that

$$\frac{1}{3}(T(r,f)+T(r,g)+T(r,h))+\mathcal{O}(1) \leq T(r,F) \leq T(r,f)+T(r,g)+T(r,h)+\mathcal{O}(1).$$

Hence, $T(r, p) = o(T(r, f) + T(r, g) + T(r, h))$. From now on, we will denote by $S(r)$ any quantity that satisfies $S(r) = o(T(r, f) + T(r, g) + T(r, h))$, $r \to \infty, r \notin E$, here E is a set of finite measure with respect to $\frac{dr}{r}$.

From p. 82 of [**21**], we know for $n = 8$ that

$$T(r, f) + S(r) = T(r, g) + S(r) = T(r, h) + S(r).$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following

LEMMA 6.1. *Assume that $n = 8$ and (f, g, h) is a meromorphic solution of (1.3). Let $p = F^*(xyz\Phi)$ and $Z(p)$ be the zero set of p. Then the following statements hold.*
(a). None of any two of f, g, h have common zero on $\mathbb{C} - Z(p)$.
(b). f, g, h can only have simple zeros on $\mathbb{C} - Z(p)$.
(c). f, g, h share common poles on $\mathbb{C} - Z(p)$, and the pole order has to be 1.
(d). Let f_i be one of f, g, h. Then $m(r, f_i^{(k)}) = S(r)$ for all $k \geq 0$ and i and $m(r, \frac{1}{f_i}) = S(r)$

Proof. We first notice that following the argument used to get (4.8), we have

$$(\ln(\frac{g'}{f'}))' = -7(\ln(\frac{g}{f}))' + p\frac{h^6}{fgf'g'}. \tag{6.1}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{(g^8)'}{(f^8)'} = Ae^{\int p\frac{h^6}{f'g'fg}d\zeta} \tag{6.2}$$

for some constant A.

For (a), suppose f, g have a common zero at 0 apart from $Z(p)$, the zero set of p. Then $h(0) \neq 0$ from equation (1.3). It follows that $p(\zeta)\frac{h^6}{f'g'fg}$ has a pole of order at least two at $\zeta = 0$. The right hand side of (6.2) then has an essential singularity, contradicting the fact that the left hand side is a meromorphic function.

For (b), if $f(0) = 0$, it follows that $h(0) \neq 0$ and $g(0) \neq 0$. Hence if f has a zero of order at least 2 at a point $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} - Z(p)$, the right hand side of (6.2) would give rise to an essential singularity. Again we reach a contradiction.

For (c), let $\zeta = 0$ be a pole of one of f, g, h. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the pole order of h at $\zeta = 0$ is the largest among f, g, h. Then unless the order of h at 0 is 1 and both f and g have pole order precisely 1 at 0, the expression $p(\zeta)\frac{h^6}{f'g'fg}$ would have a pole of order greater than 1 at 0. Again, the right hand side of (6.2) has an essential singularity and we reach a contradiction.

For (d), we notice from p.82 of [**21**] that $m(f_i), m(\frac{1}{f_i}) = S(r)$. Hence $m(r, f') \leqslant m(r, \frac{f'}{f}) + m(r, f) = S(r)$ from Logarithmic Derivative Lemma. From induction, it follows that $m(r, f^{(k)}) = S(r)$. □

Now from (6.1), we have

$$(\ln(\frac{g'}{f'}))' + 7(\ln(\frac{g}{f}))' = p\frac{h^6}{fgf'g'}$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{p}(fgf'g'' - f''fgg'' + 7(g')^2ff' - 7(f')^2gg') = h^6.$$

Clearly, a pole z_0 of h^6 will either be a pole of $fgf'g'' - f''fgg'' + 7(g')^2ff' - 7(f')^2gg'$ or a zero of p. If z_0 is outside $Z(p)$, then z_0 is a pole of $fgf'g'' - f''fgg'' + 7(g')^2ff' - 7(f')^2gg'$ and hence a pole of at least one of the four terms $fgf'g'', f''fgg'', (g')^2ff', (f')^2gg'$. In fact, z_0 should be a pole for each of $fgf'g'', f''fgg'', (g')^2ff', (f')^2gg'$ as f, g, h share a pole of order one when the pole is outside $Z(p)$ by Lemma 6.1(c). Hence we have $6N(r, h) = N(r, h^6) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{p}) + N(r, fgf'g'') \leq S(r) + N(r, f) + N(r, g) + N(r, f') + N(r, g'')$ as by the

First Fundamental Theorem, we have $N(r,\frac{1}{p}) \leq T(r,p)+\mathcal{O}(1) = S(r)$. By Lemma 6.1(d), we then have

$$6T(r,h)+S(r) \leq T(r,f)+T(r,g)+T(r,f')+T(r,g'')+S(r).$$

From $T(r,f)+S(r) = T(r,g)+S(r) = T(r,h)+S(r)$ and the well-known fact that $T(r,w') \leq (1+\varepsilon)T(r,w)$, it follows that

$$6T(r,h)+S(r) \leq (4+\varepsilon)T(r,h)+S(r),\ r\to\infty, r\notin E.$$

This is a contradiction and we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

Proof. We now prove Theorem 1.1 ($n=6$) and let us consider entire solution f,g and h for

$$f^6+g^6+h^6=1.$$

If $F^*M_{xyz}=0$, then we will have (4.8) for $n=6$ and we are done. Now suppose $F^*M_{xyz}\neq 0$ which implies that all f,g and h are non-constant.

Note that $xyz\Phi_{xy}$ is a log jet differential with log-pole at the hyperplane at ∞, which is the same as Lemma 4.4 after a change of coordinates. Proposition 5.1(a) in this case implies that $p := xyz\Phi_{x,y}$ is a small function, i.e. $T(r,p)=\mathcal{O}(\log(T(r,F)))=S(r)$.

Now following the argument used to get (4.8), we have

$$(\ln(\frac{g'}{f'}))' = -5(\ln(\frac{g}{f}))' + p\frac{h^6}{fgf'g'}.$$

Note that, from p.84 of [**21**], for $n=6$, we again have

$$T(r,f)+S(r) = T(r,g)+S(r) = T(r,h)+S(r),$$

where $r\to\infty, r\notin E$.

Let $a = \Big(\ln\big(\frac{g'}{f'}\big)\Big)'$ and $b = 5\Big(\ln\big(\frac{g}{f}\big)\Big)'$. Hence, by the Logarithmic Derivative Lemma and the fact that $T(r,w')\leq(1+\varepsilon)T(r,w)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(r,a) &= o\Big(T\big(r,\frac{g'}{f'}\big)\Big) = o\big(T(r,g')+T(r,f')\big)+O(1)\big) \\ &= o\big((1+\varepsilon)T(r,g)+(1+\varepsilon')T(r,f)+O(1)\big) \\ &= S(r), r\to\infty, r\notin E; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m(r,b) &= o\Big(T\big(r,\frac{g}{f}\big)\Big) = o\big(T(r,g)+T(r,f)\big)+O(1)\big) \\ &= S(r), r\to\infty, r\notin E. \end{aligned}$$

Since $h^6 = \frac{a+b}{p}\,fgf'g'$ and $T(r,p)=S(r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 6m(r,h)+S(r) &= m(r,h^6)+S(r) \\ &\leq m\Big(r,\frac{a+b}{p}\Big)+m(r,f)+m(r,f')+m(r,g)+m(r,g')+S(r) \\ &= (4+\varepsilon)T(r,h)+S(r),\ r\to\infty, r\notin E. \end{aligned}$$

Since h is entire, we have $T(r,h)=m(r,h)$ and hence

$$6T(r,h)+S(r) \leq (4+\varepsilon)T(r,h)+S(r),\ r\to\infty, r\notin E.$$

This is a contradiction and we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1.

□

REMARK 3. Ishizaki [**21**] showed that meromorphic solutions for the $n = 8$ case would satisfy differential equations of the form $W(f^8, g^8, h^8) = a(z)(f(z)g(z)h(z))^6$ where $W(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is the Wronskian determinant of f_1, f_2, f_3. He also showed that entire solutions for the $n = 6$ case satisfy differential equations of the form $W(f^6, g^6, h^6) = b(z)(f(z)g(z)h(z))^4$. One can check that $(\ln(\frac{g'}{f'}))' + 7(\ln(\frac{g}{f}))' = p\frac{h^6}{fgf'g'}$ is the same as $W(f^8, g^8, h^8) = a(z)(f(z)g(z)h(z))^6$ where $p = \frac{a}{64}$. Similarly, $(\ln(\frac{g'}{f'}))' + 5(\ln(\frac{g}{f}))' = p\frac{h^6}{fgf'g'}$ is the same as $W(f^6, g^6, h^6) = b(z)(f(z)g(z)h(z))^4$, where $p = \frac{b}{36}$. From Proposition 5.1 and 6.1 of Ishizaki's paper [**21**], we know that $a = S(r)$ and $b = S(r)$. Hence $p = S(r)$ when $n = 8$ and $n = 6$.

7. *Generalized Fermat functional equations*

In this section, we will explain briefly how the method of jet differentials can be applied to study the meromorphic solutions of the generalized Fermat functional equations:

$$f^n + g^m + h^l = 1, \tag{7.1}$$

where $n \geqslant m \geqslant l$.

Note that Hayman's Theorem is equivalent to saying that for $n = m = l$, there is no non-trivial meromorphic solution of (7.1) if $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{l} < \frac{3}{8}$. In 2003, Hu, Li and Yang [**20**] showed that there is no non-trivial meromorphic solution of (7.1) if $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{l} < \frac{1}{3}$. The condition is improved to $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{l} \leq \frac{1}{3}$ in [**35**] and then to $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{l} < \frac{25}{72} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{8}$ by Yi and Yang in 2011. In this section we shall prove the following strongest result so far.

THEOREM 7.1. *There is no non-trivial meromorphic solution of $f^n + g^m + h^l = 1$ if*

$$\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{l} \leq \frac{3}{8}$$

By modifying Green's example [**4**] mentioned in Section 1, we have $f^4 + g^4 + h^n = 1$ where $f = 8^{-1/4}(e^{3z} + e^{-z})$, $g = (-8)^{-1/4}(e^{3z} - e^{-z})$, $h = (-1)^{1/n}e^{\frac{8}{n}z}$. So the constant $\frac{3}{8}$ cannot be replaced by a number greater than $\frac{1}{2}$. Note that the surface associated with $f^4 + g^4 + h^n = 1$ is not smooth. As we will see, one needs to pay special attention to the type of singularities a surface can have if one wants to apply the method of jet differentials.

To prove Theorem 7.1, it would be more convenient to consider

$$f^n + g^m + h^l + 1 = 0, \tag{7.2}$$

where $n \geqslant m \geqslant l$.

We then have the following

PROPOSITION 7.2. *Assume that a generalized Fermat surface S defined by equation (7.2) is a normal surface with isolated singularities. Assume that $n \geqslant 9$. Then any entire holomorphic curve on S lies on an algebraic curve.*

Proof. (a). Let us assume first that the surface S is smooth. By taking derivatives of equation (7.2), we obtain

$$0 = nx^{n-1}dx + my^{m-1}dy + lz^{l-1}dz \tag{7.3}$$
$$0 = nx^{n-1}D^2x + my^{m-1}D^2y + lz^{l-1}D^2z \tag{7.4}$$

where

$$D^2x = d^2x + \frac{n-1}{x}(dx)^2,\ D^2y = d^2y + \frac{m-1}{y}(dy)^2,\ D^2z = d^2z + \frac{l-1}{z}(dz)^2.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\begin{vmatrix} dy & dz \\ D^2y & D^2z \end{vmatrix}}{nx^{n-1}} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} dz & dx \\ D^2z & D^2x \end{vmatrix}}{my^{m-1}} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} dx & dy \\ D^2x & D^2y \end{vmatrix}}{lz^{l-1}} \tag{7.5}$$

Let Φ be the above expression. From construction, $xyz\Phi$ is holomorphic except perhaps at ∞. Studying the first expression above after multiplying by xyz, we note that the numerator has pole order 7 in ∞ as in the proof of Lemma 4.2. It follows that if $n > 8$, the expression $xyz\Phi$ would have no pole at a generic point of the divisor at ∞ and in fact, vanish along this ample divisor. Hence it has singularity at most along a codimension 2 subset on S. From Riemann Extension Theorem, we may extend $(xyz)\Phi$ as holomorphic jet differentials on S.

Let $F = [x, y, z, 1] : \mathbb{C} \to S$ be an entire holomorphic curve. Apply Theorem A, we have $F^*((xyz)\Phi) = 0$. This implies that if $F(\mathbb{C})$ does not lie in a coordinate plane, we will have the differential equation $F^*(\Phi) = 0$. Hence

$$dx(d^2y + \frac{m-1}{y}(dy)^2) - dy(d^2x + \frac{n-1}{x}(dx)^2) = 0 \tag{7.6}$$
$$\Rightarrow d(\frac{dy^m}{dx^n})(dx^n)^2 = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow d(y^m) = c_1 d(x^n)$$
$$\Rightarrow y^m = c_1x^n + c_2$$

and $F(\mathbb{C})$ lies on an algebraic curve.

(b). Consider now the general case as stated in the statement of Proposition 7.2. Let $\{R_i, i = 1, \ldots, r\}$ be the set of normal singularities of S. The construction above gives rise to a holomorphic two-jet differential on $S - \cup_{i=1}^r \{R_i\}$. Let D be the hyperplane $w = 0$ of $\mathbb{P}^3$. Suppose that R_i lies in the affine part $S \cap \mathbb{C}^3 = S \cap (\mathbb{P}^3 - D)$. Then $xyz\Phi$ is holomorphic on $S \cap (\mathbb{P}^3 - D) - \cup_{i=1}^r \{R_i\}$. Written in terms of local coordinates as in equation (7.6), the coefficients of $xyz\Phi$ is bounded in a neighborhood of R_i and extends across R_i since S is normal. Hence $xyz\Phi$ extends across R_i. The same argument applies to $S \cap D$ in terms of a chart around D corresponding to a different standard affine charts on $\mathbb{P}^3$. We conclude that $xyz\Phi$ extends across all the singular points. By considering a resolution of singularities $p : \widehat{S} \to S$ of S and pulling back $xyz\Phi$, we obtain a holomorphic 2-jet differential $p^*(xyz\Phi)$ vanishing along an ample divisor. The rest of argument as in (a) allows us to conclude the proof.

□

COROLLARY 7.3. *Entire holomorphic curve on the following surfaces are projective algebraic.*

a) $X^n + Y^n + Z^{n-1}W = W^n$ *for* $n \geqslant 9$
b) $X^n + Y^{n-1}W + Z^{n-1}W = W^n$ *for* $n \geqslant 9$.

Proof. According to case 76 of the appendix of [**19**], the surface given by (a) is smooth. Moreover, from case 48 of the appendix of [**19**], the surface given by (b) is smooth except for ADE singularities $[0 : \omega_n : 1 : 0]$, where ω_n is a $(n-1)$-th root of -1. It is well-known that a surface with only ADE singularities is normal following Serre's criterion, cf. Appendix to §3 of [**27**], we can then apply Proposition 7.2 to conclude the proof. □

In general we may consider a Delsarte surface as discussed in [**31**] and [**19**] because the generalized Fermat surface is a special type of Delsarte surfaces.

Proposition 7.4. *Let S be a Delsarte surface with isolated ADE singularities and sufficiently large degree. Then any entire holomorphic curve on S is projective algebraic. In particular, this applies to all* 83 *classes of surfaces tabulated in [***19***] with $n \geqslant 9$.*

Proof. Again, as surfaces with only ADE singularities are normal, the result follows from Proposition 7.2. The condition $n \geqslant 9$ makes sure that the construction as given in equation (7.6) leads to existence of a holomorphic 2-jet differential vanishing along an ample divisor. □

To prove Theorem 7.1, it remains to show that the condition $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{l} < \frac{25}{72} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{8}$ can be replaced by the condition $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{8}{3}$. Therefore, we only need to consider the cases $(n, m, l) = (9, 9, 8), (9, 8, 8)$ or $(8, 8, 8)$. The case $(8, 8, 8)$ has already been covered by Theorem 1.2. We learn from the proof of Corollary 7.3 (a) that the surface associated with the case $(9, 9, 8)$ is smooth and we can follow the proof of Proposition 7.1 to conclude that $g^m = c_1 f^n + c_2$ which will lead to a contradiction as shown in the proof of Corollary 4.3. Finally, from the proof of Corollary 7.3 (b), the case $(9, 8, 8)$ will correspond to a surface with ADE singularities only and the surface will therefore be normal and we can again follow the proof of Proposition 7.1 to conclude that $g^m = c_1 f^n + c_2$ which will again lead to a contradiction.

8. *Some related open problems*

From the proof of Corollary 4.5 and Theorem 1.1 for the entire solutions of the Fermat functional equation (2.2), we know that when $n \geq 6$, one can only have solutions of the form $(f(t), \omega_1 f(t), \omega_2)$ or by permutation of the indices, where $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega_1^n = -1$ and $\omega_2^n = 1$. We have the same conclusion for meromorphic solutions when $n \geq 8$. While there are non-trivial entire solutions when $n \leq 5$, we only have the existence of non-trivial meromorphic solutions when $n \leq 6$. It seems to us that the case $n = 8$ for meromorphic solutions and $n = 6$ for entire solutions are really the boundary cases and therefore we conjecture that there are non-trivial meromorphic solutions for $n = 7$.

For generalized Fermat functional equations, it would be interesting to know whether the number $\frac{3}{8}$ in the condition $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{l} \leq \frac{3}{8}$ can be replaced by something bigger. In this paper, we only consider meromorphic functions for the generalized Fermat functional equations. It is natural to consider entire solutions as well. Note that Toda [**29**] has proved a general result which implies that if f, g, h are non-constant entire functions satisfying $f^n + g^m + h^l = 1$, then $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{l} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. The explicit entire solution for $f^4 + g^4 + h^n = 1$ for any natural number n mentioned in Section 7 shows that Toda's result is optimal.

In page 421 of [**31**], one can find, for a given Delsarte surface, a construction of a Fermat surface which is a covering of the given Delsarte surface. For example, for the Delsarte

surface $X^n + Y^{n-1}W + z^{n-1}W + W^n = 0$, the Fermat surface of degree $n(n-1)$, $S_{n(n-1)}$, is its covering and the covering map is $\varphi = (x^{n-1}, \frac{y^n}{w}, \frac{z^n}{w}, w^{n-1})$. In fact, one can check on $S_{n(n-1)}$,

$$\varphi_1^n + \varphi_4\varphi_2^{n-1} + \varphi_4\varphi_3^{n-1} + \varphi_4^n = x^{n(n-1)} + w^{n-1} \cdot \frac{y^{(n-1)^n}}{w^{n-1}} + w^{n-1} \cdot \frac{z^{(n-1)^n}}{w^{n-1}} + w^{(n-1)n} = 0.$$

So the image of φ is lying on the Delsarte surface $X^n + y^{n-1}W + z^{n-1}W + W^n = 0$. For $n = 3$, we have S_6 and by the covering map φ, if we have a non-trivial solution for $f^6 + g^6 + h^6 = 1$, then we can get a non-trivial solution for $x^3 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ (which is known to have meromorphic or entire solutions). Gundersen's example for $f^6 + g^6 + h^6 = 1$ in [**9**] will then give a new solution for $x^3 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$. Therefore, it is still interesting to look for new non-trivial meromorphic solutions for the Fermat functional equations when $n = 6$.

Of course one may also consider the four term Fermat-type equations. In fact, Hayman [**16**] has already considered the existence of solution for the following general Fermat-type functional equation in connection with his study of Waring's problem in function theory:

$$f_1^n + f_2^n + ... + f_k^n = 1 \tag{8.1}$$

Note that in Hayman's work for the Waring's problem in function theory [**16**], one only needs to consider the Waring-type functional equation:

$$f_1^n(z) + f_2^n(z) + ... + f_k^n(z) = z \tag{8.2}$$

It would be also interesting to see how the method of jet differentials can be applied to study these more general equations. One can refer to [**17**], [**18**] and [**13**] for some known results of (8.1) and (8.2).

References

1. J.M. Anderson and A. Hinkkanen, A new counting function for the zeros of holomorphic curves, Analysis and Mathematical Physics, **4** (2014) Issue 1-2, 35–62.
2. I.N. Baker, On a class of meromorphic functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966),819–822.
3. H. Cartan, Sur les zéros des combinaisons linéaires de p fonctions holomorphes données, Mathematica Cluj **7** (1933), pp. 5–31.
4. M.L. Green, Some Picard theorems for holomorphic maps to algebraic varieties, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975), pp. 43–75.
5. M.L. Green and P. Griffiths, Two Applications of Algebraic Geometry to Entire Holomorphic Mappings, The Chern Symposium 1979. Springer-Verlag: (1980), 41–74.
6. F. Gross, On the equation $f^n + g^n = 1$. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 86–88.
7. F. Gross, On the functional equation $f^n + g^n = h^n$. Amer. Math. Monthly **73** (1966),1093-1096.
8. G.G. Gundersen, Estimates for the Logarithmic Derivative of a Meromorphic Function, Plus Similar Estimates, J. London Math. Soc. **121** (1988), no.2, pp.88–104.
9. G.G. Gundersen, Meromorphic solutions of $f^6 + g^6 + h^6 \equiv 1$, Analysis (Munich) **18** (1998), no. 3, pp. 285–290.
10. G.G. Gundersen, Meromorphic solutions of $f^5 + g^5 + h^5 \equiv 1$, The Chuang special issue. Complex Variables Theory Appl. **43** (2001), no. 3-4, pp. 293–298.
11. G.G. Gundersen, Complex functional equations, Complex differential and functional equations (Mekrijarvi, 2000, Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser., **5**, Univ. Joensuu, Joensuu), (2003), pp. 21–50.
12. G.G. Gundersen, Research questions on meromorphic functions and complex differential equations, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, First Online: 26 July 2016.
13. G.G. Gundersen and W.K. Hayman, The strength of Cartan's version of Nevanlinna theory, Bull. London Math. Soc. **36** (2004), no. 4, pp. 433–454.
14. G.G. Gundersen and K. Tohge, Entire and meromorphic solutions of $f^5 + g^5 + h^5 = 1$, Symposium on Complex Differential and Functional Equations, Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser., **6**, Univ. Joensuu, Joensuu, (2004). pp. 57–67.
15. H. Fujimoto, On meromorphic maps into the complex projecive space. J. Math. Soc. Japan **26** (1974), 272-288.

16. W.K. Hayman, Warings Problem fur analytische Funktionen (German), Bayer. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl. Sitzungsber. 1984, (1985), pp. 1–13 .
17. W.K. Hayman, Nevanlinna theory 1945–1995, XVIth Rolf Nevanlinna Colloquium (Joensuu, 1995), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 1–11.
18. W.K. Hayman, Waring's theorem and the super Fermat problem for numbers and functions. Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **59** (2014), no. 1, 85–90.
19. B. Heijne, Picard numbers of complex Delsarte surfaces with only isolated ADE-singularities, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **68** (2016), no.1, 101–118.
20. P.C. Hu, P. Li and C.C.Yang, Unicity of meromorphic mappings, Advances in Complex Analysis and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
21. K. Ishizaki, A note on the functional equation $f^n + g^n + h^n = 1$ and some complex differential equations. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory **2** (2002), no. 1, pp. 67–85.
22. G. Iyer, On certain functional equations. J. Indian Math. Soc. **3**, (1939), 312-315.
23. Laine I. Nevanlinna theory and complex differential equations. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter; 1993.
24. D.H. Lehmer,On the Diophantine equation $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 1$. J. London Math. Soc. **31** (1956),275–280.
25. S. Kobayashi, Hyperbolic complex spaces. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **318**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. xiv+471 pp.
26. S. Lang, Introduction to complex hyperbolic spaces. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
27. M. Reid, Young person's guide to canonical singularities, in Algebraic geometry (Bowdoin, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 46 Part 1, AMS 1987, pp. 345–414.
28. M. Ru, Nevanlinna theory and its relation to Diophantine approximation. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
29. N. Toda, On the functional equation $\sum_{i=0}^{p} a_i f^{n_i} = 1$, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **23** (1971), 289-299.
30. K. Tohge, On Gundersen's solution to the Fermat-type functional equation of degree 6, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **56** (2011), no. 1-4, 233–251.
31. T. Shioda, An Explicit Algorithm for Computing the Picard Number of Certain Algebraic Surfaces, Amer. J. Math. **108** (1986), no.2, 415–432.
32. Y.T. Siu, Hyperbolicity problems in function theory. Five decades as a mathematician and educator, 409–513, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1995.
33. Y.T. Siu and S.K. Yeung, Hyperbolicity of the complement of a generic smooth curve of high degree in the complex projective plane. Invent. Math. **124** (1996), pp.573–618.
34. Y.T. Siu and S.K. Yeung, Defects for ample divisors of abelian varieties, Schwarz lemma, and hyperbolic hypersurfaces of low degrees. Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), 1139–1172.
35. L.Z. Yang and J.l. Zhang, On some results of Yi and their applications in the functional equations, Acta Math Sci Engl Ser, **30** (2010), 1649–1660.
36. H.Z.Yi and L.Z. Yang, On meromorphic solutions of Fermat type functional equations, Scientia Sinica (Mathematica), **41** (2011) no.10, 901–932 (in Chinese).

Tuen-Wai Ng
Mathematics Department
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Road
Hong Kong, China
ntw@maths.hku.hk

Sai-Kee Yeung
Mathematics Department
Purdue University
West Lafayette, IN 47907
USA
yeung@math.purdue.edu